## "IMPLEMENTING QUALITY STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES IN SHIP RECYCLING"

## $1^{ST}$ GLOBAL SHIP SCRAPPING SUMMIT RADM R. C. NORTH

23 JUNE 1999

- GOOD AFTERNOON ---MR CHAIRMAN, PANELISTS, LADIES & GENTLEMEN. IT IS INDEED A DISTINCT PLEASURE AND HONOR TO SPEAK HERE AT THE FIRST GLOBAL SUMMIT ON SHIP RECYCLING.
- THE SHIP RECYCLING INDUSTRY HAS BECOME A TOPIC OF
  GREAT INTEREST IN THE UNITED STATES AND IN
  EUROPE IN THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS, AND I AM
  PLEASED THAT THE NETHERLANDS MINISTRY OF
  TRANSPORT HAS TAKEN THE INITIATIVE TO BRING THE
  INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY TOGETHER ON THIS ISSUE.
  THEY ARE TO BE CONGRATULATED.
- MY REMARKS TODAY WILL FOCUS ON THE UNITED STATES

  COAST GUARD'S INTEREST IN SHIP RECYCLING, BOTH AS

  A PROTECTOR OF THE MARINE ENVIRONMENT, AND AS AN

  ORGANIZATION WHICH ALSO MUST FIND WAYS TO

  RECYCLE OUR OWN VESSELS.

- I WILL BEGIN BY DESCRIBING BRIEFLY HOW WE, THE COAST GUARD, REPLACE OUR OWN SHIPS MANY OF WHICH WERE BUILT WHEN SUCH HAZARDOUS MATERIALS AS ASBESTOS AND PCB'S WERE IN COMMON USE. SINCE WE ARE A VERY SMALL SEA SERVICE, WE ARE ABLE TO HANDLE OUR RECYCLING NEEDS WITHOUT SENDING OUR VESSELS TO OVERSEAS RECYCLING YARDS.
- MANY OF OUR DECOMMISSIONED VESSELS ARE STILL IN

  USEFUL CONDITION, BUT ARE NO LONGER SUITED TO OUR

  MISSION NEEDS. RATHER THAN RECYCLE VESSELS WHICH

  WE NO LONGER NEED, THE COAST GUARD FIRST OFFERS

  THE SHIPS TO OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES FOR

  CONTINUED USE.
- IF NO OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY CAN USE THE VESSEL,

  CONGRESS MAY AUTHORIZE THE STATE DEPARTMENT

  AND THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE TO TRANSFER

  OWNERSHIP TO COUNTRIES WITH A NEED FOR COASTAL

  DEFENSE OR SECURITY BUT WHO ARE UNABLE TO

  FINANCE FLEETS OF THEIR OWN. A CONTAMINATION

  SURVEY OF ALL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS IS CONDUCTED

  ON ALL VESSELS DECOMMISSIONED FROM COAST GUARD

  SERVICE BEFORE THEY ARE TRANSFERRED EITHER

  DOMESTICALLY OR INTERNATIONALLY.

- IF A SHIP CANNOT BE TRANSFERRED FOR CONTINUED SERVICE,
  IT WILL BE CLEANED OF ALL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS IN
  OUR OWN SHIPYARD WITH PERSONNEL TRAINED IN SAFE
  REMOVAL PROCEDURES. THE CLEANED SHIP WILL THEN
  BE USED TO BUILD AN ARTIFICIAL REEF OR SOLD FOR
  RECYCLING. NUMEROUS ARTIFICIAL REEFS AROUND THE
  U.S. ARE EX-COAST GUARD VESSELS. THIS HAS WORKED
  WELL, BUT OUR LARGEST SHIPS ARE FRIGATE SIZE. I'M
  NOT SURE OF ITS VIABILITY FOR LARGER VESSELS.
- AS THE ASSISTANT COMMANDANT FOR MARINE SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, ONE OF MY TOP PRIORITIES IS TO CONTINUE TO ENSURE AND IMPROVE MARITIME SAFETY AND PROTECTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT WORLDWIDE AS WELL AS IN U.S. WATERS. THIS IS MY PRIMARY CONCERN WITH RESPECT TO SHIP RECYCLING.
- THE UNITED STATES COAST GUARD HAS A DUAL AUTHORITY
  AS AN ENFORCEMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE
  AGENCY.

- ENFORCEMENT OF UNITED STATES MARINE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION LAWS -- WHICH INCLUDE THE FEDERAL WATER POLLUTION CONTROL ACT (FWPCA); THE COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE, COMPENSATION, AND LIABILITY ACT (CERCLA); AND THE OIL POLLUTION ACT OF 1990 AMONG OTHERS -- IS CARRIED OUT AS A STANDARD INVENTORY OF RESPONSIBILITIES BY OUR LOCAL CAPTAINS OF THE PORT FOR THEIR AREAS OF OPERATION, REGARDLESS OF A SPECIFIC SHIP BREAKING ACTIVITY.
- THE MAJORITY OF OUR INVOLVEMENT IN SHIP RECYCLING
  STEMS FROM THE FEDERAL WATER POLLUTION CONTROL
  ACT OF 1972.
- ONE OF THE MAIN PROVISIONS OF THIS ACT WAS THE

  CREATION OF THE NATIONAL RESPONSE PLAN WHICH

  TASKED THE COAST GUARD AS THE U.S. GOVERNMENT'S

  ON-SCENE COORDINATOR FOR OIL AND HAZARDOUS

  MATERIAL SPILLS IN U.S COASTAL WATERS. THIS

  FUNCTION IS CARRIED OUT FOR ALL POTENTIAL SPILLS

  INCLUDING THOSE FROM SPECIFIC SHIP BREAKING

  ACTIVITIES.
- WE ARE ALSO TASKED WITH PREVENTION OF OIL AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS POLLUTION OF THE U.S. COASTAL ZONE.

- RECYCLING CONVENTIONALLY-POWERED SHIPS IS A HEAVY INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITY, AND AS SUCH, IT IS A DIRTY, DIFFICULT AND HAZARDOUS JOB. MANY OF THE VESSELS CURRENTLY DESIGNATED FOR SCRAPPING WERE BUILT IN THE 1940'S, 50'S, AND 60'S AND EMPLOYED THEN STATE-OF-THE-ART MATERIALS IN THEIR CONSTRUCTION.
- WHILE THOSE MATERIALS WERE IN COMMON USE AT THAT
  TIME TO PROTECT HUMAN LIFE AND EXTEND THE
  SERVICE LIFE OF THE VESSEL, MANY HAVE SINCE BEEN
  CLASSIFIED AS HAZARDOUS. THEY INCLUDE ASBESTOS,
  POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCB'S), LEAD,
  CHROMATES, AND MERCURY.
- COMPLICATING THE PROBLEM IS THAT, THROUGH REPAIR AND RETROFITTING, HAZARDOUS MATERIALS IN SOME AREAS HAVE BEEN REMOVED WHILE ADDITIONAL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS PREVIOUSLY CONSIDERED BENEFICIAL MAY HAVE BEEN INCORPORATED INTO A VESSEL.

- ALSO, WE ARE MOVING TOWARD MORE USE OF COMPOSITES
  FOR SHIPBUILDING THAT MS RUTHERFORD HAS
  CORRECTLY IDENTIFIED AS GENERALLY NONRECYCLABLE. THIS CALLS EVEN MORE STRONGLY FOR
  THE LIFE-CYCLE APPROACH TO SHIP-BUILDING ALREADY
  MENTIONED, AND THE NEED FOR COOPERATIVE
  INTERNATIONAL ACTION.
- BECAUSE THESE ACTIVITIES TAKE PLACE AT DIFFERENT TIMES,
  AT DIFFERENT FACILITIES AROUND THE WORLD AND ARE
  OF VARYING MAGNITUDES, EVEN VESSELS OF THE SAME
  TYPE MAY HAVE VASTLY DIFFERENT AMOUNTS OF
  HAZARDOUS MATERIALS IN DIFFERENT LOCATIONS.
  CONSEQUENTLY, COMPLETE AND ACCURATE RECORDS
  CATALOGUING THE LOCATION AND EXTENT OF
  HAZARDOUS MATERIALS RARELY EXIST.
- THE LIMITED KNOWLEDGE ON THE TYPE AND QUANTITY OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MAKES ABATEMENT DIFFICULT AND INCREASES RISK. IN SOME CASES, THE ACTUAL LOCATION AND EXTENT OF HAZARDOUS MATERIAL CANNOT BE IDENTIFIED UNTIL THE SHIP IS BEING SCRAPPED.

- FOR BOTH DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL SHIP RECYCLING OPERATIONS, THE SKILLS REQUIRED TO DISMANTLE A SHIP ARE A UNIQUE BLEND OF TECHNICAL KNOWLEDGE AND PHYSICAL LABOR. TECHNICAL KNOWLEDGE IS NEEDED TO PROPERLY ELIMINATE HAZARDOUS MATERIALS AND SAFELY REMOVE HEAVY SECTIONS OF STEEL HULLS. BUT, SHIP RECYCLING IS STILL MOSTLY A LABOR-INTENSIVE PROCESS WITH MUCH OF THE WORK BEING DONE USING HAND HELD CUTTING TOOLS.
- DUE TO THE MANY WORKER SAFETY ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH THIS OCCUPATION, THE U. S. GOVERNMENT'S OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION HAS MAIN JURISDICTION OVER SHIP BREAKING ACTIVITIES, WHILE THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGULATES ANY POLLUTION ASHORE. THE COAST GUARD'S CONCERN COMES FROM THE PROXIMITY OF THESE FACILITIES TO THE WATER, AND THE POTENTIAL FOR OIL AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS TO BE MISHANDLED AND POSSIBLY ENTER THE WATER.

FORTUNATELY, WATER POLLUTION INCIDENTS AT U.S. SHIP RECYCLING YARDS HAVE BEEN RELATIVELY LOW. HOWEVER, THIS MUST NOT CAUSE US TO BECOME COMPLACENT. AS THE U.S. GOVERNMENT'S CHIEF PROTECTOR OF THE MARINE ENVIRONMENT, WE HAVE ALWAYS MAINTAINED THAT PREVENTION IS THE BEST RESPONSE.

WITH SHIP RECYCLING ON THE RISE -- WE MUST BE PREPARED FOR THE FUTURE.

SHIP RECYCLING IN THE UNITED STATES, LIKE MOST
COUNTRIES, HAS A DIFFICULT TIME COMPETING WITH THE
WORLD SHIP RECYCLING MARKET IN COUNTRIES SUCH AS
INDIA, PAKISTAN, AND BANGLADESH. THIS HAS KEPT
SHIP RECYCLING ACTIVITY IN THE U.S. AT LOW LEVELS –
AND CONSEQUENTLY POLLUTION RISK HAS REMAINED AT
LOW LEVELS AS WELL.

TWO THINGS MAY CHANGE THAT:

- THE FIRST, IRONICALLY, IS THE OIL POLLUTION ACT OF 1990,
  WHICH HAS WORKED SO WELL TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT
  OF OIL SPILLED INTO U.S. WATERS. OPA 90 MANDATES
  THAT BY THE YEAR 2015, NO SINGLE-HULLED TANKER
  MAY OPERATE IN U.S. WATERS. AS A RESULT, MANY
  SHIPPING COMPANIES WILL BE REPLACING THEIR TANKER
  FLEET IN THE VERY NEAR FUTURE.
- THE SECOND POTENTIAL INCREASE IN U.S. SHIP RECYCLING
  ACTIVITY COMES FROM RECENT CONGRESSIONAL
  INTEREST IN HOW THE U.S. NAVY AND THE MARITIME
  ADMINISTRATION ARE DISPOSING OF THEIR EXCESS
  VESSELS. YOU HEARD MR. CLYDE HART SPEAK ON THAT
  ISSUE EARLIER TODAY.
- WITH THE POTENTIAL INCREASE IN OUR DOMESTIC SHIP
  RECYCLING ACTIVITY, COMES THE POTENTIAL FOR MORE
  POLLUTION. WE MUST REMAIN VIGILANT AND DEVELOP
  QUALITY STANDARDS TO PREVENT ANY POLLUTION
  FROM THESE FACILITIES BEFORE IT HAPPENS.

- HISTORICALLY, BUT PERHAPS EVEN MORE SO IN THIS ERA OF GLOBAL MARKETS, THE MARINE SAFETY DIRECTORATE OF THE COAST GUARD FINDS ITSELF IN THE POSITION OF BOTH A REGULATOR AND A FACILITATOR OF MARINE TRANSPORTATION. WITH RESPECT TO ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT AND ENFORCEMENT THE COAST GUARD ACTS AS A REGULATOR TO "PROTECT THE PUBLIC AND THE ENVIRONMENT."
- IN OUR ROLE AS A FACILITATOR, WE ARE CHARGED WITH
  ENSURING THE STANDARDS THAT WE DEVELOP AND
  ENFORCE DO NOT PLACE U. S. MARKET INTERESTS AT A
  DISADVANTAGE IN TODAY'S WORLD ECONOMY.
- THIS, OF COURSE, IS NOT SOLELY A UNITED STATES PROBLEM,
  BUT ONE WHICH ALL OF YOU ARE STRUGGLING WITH. IT
  IS IN FACT ONE OF THE MAJOR ISSUES THIS SUMMIT IS
  ADDRESSING. HOW CAN WE ENFORCE ENVIRONMENTAL
  STANDARDS WITHOUT CRIPPLING AN INDUSTRY VITAL TO
  THE ECONOMIES OF MANY COUNTRIES?

- THE ANSWER WILL MOST LIKELY COME FROM INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION IN FORUMS SUCH AS THIS, WHERE DIFFERENT VIEWS CAN BE EXPRESSED AND COUNTRIES CAN PRESENT IDEAS FOR POSITIVE SOLUTIONS.

  INTERNATIONALLY HARMONIZED STANDARDS AND REGULATIONS HAVE THE ADVANTAGE OF IMPROVING COMPLIANCE AND SAFETY, WHILE AT THE SAME TIME FACILITATE TRADE. IN THAT REGARD I'M STRUCK BY THE SIMILARITY OF THE BROAD ISSUES DISCUSSED TODAY TO THOSE OF QUALITY SHIPPING SHARED OVER THE LAST 2 DAYS.
- BY DEVELOPING UNIFORM INTERNATIONAL GUIDELINES ON SHIP RECYCLING, THROUGH ORGANIZATIONS SUCH AS IMO, WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO WORK TOGETHER TO DEVELOP IDEAS WHICH WILL FURTHER IMPROVE QUALITY OF SHIP SCRAPPING WORLDWIDE.
- AS WITH THIS CONFERENCE, ALL SIDES OF THE ISSUE NEED TO BE PRESENTED. ECONOMIC ISSUES AS WELL AS PROTECTING OUR MARINE ENVIRONMENT. WE CANNOT EXPECT THE SHIP RECYCLING INDUSTRY TO SAFELY REMEDIATE SHIPS AT A COST THAT EXCEEDS THE MATERIAL VALUE. AND LIKEWISE WE CAN'T SACRIFICE THE MARINE ENVIRONMENT TO MAXIMIZE PROFITS.

- SOLUTIONS CAN ONLY COME THROUGH COOPERATIVE

  EFFORTS BETWEEN OUR GOVERNMENTS, THE INDUSTRY,

  AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS. THERE IS CLEARLY A

  DELICATE BALANCE TO BE STRUCK BETWEEN

  ECONOMICS, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND SAFETY

   THAT IS NOT EASILY DONE WITH SUCH A COMPLEX

  INTERACTION OF INTERESTS. WE MUST BE CAREFUL TO

  CREATE INCENTIVES NOT DISINCENTIVES IN THE

  PROCESS.
- STAKEHOLDER PARTNERSHIPS HAVE ALLOWED US TO

  RESOLVE CONTENTIOUS ISSUES MANY THROUGH NONREGULATORY MEANS. WE IN THIS ROOM HAVE THE

  ABILITY TO DEAL WITH THIS IN A BALANCED WAY. IF WE
  DO NOT ACT OTHERS MAY.
- THIS ISSUE WILL BE BROUGHT UP AT THE IMO MEPC NEXT WEEK; AND, IT IS APPROPRIATE TO OPEN DISCUSSIONS THERE. THE U.S. SUPPORTS FURTHER IMO DISCUSSIONS ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF UNIFORM INTERNATIONAL GUIDELINES FOR SHIP RECYCLING AND SUPPORTS THE ADDITION OF THE SHIP RECYCLING ISSUES TO THE AGENDA FOR MEPC 44.

NORWAY IS TO BE CONGRATULATED FOR BRINGING THIS ISSUE
TO IMO THROUGH ITS MEPC 43 PAPER JUST AS THE
NETHERLANDS IS TO BE CONGRATULATED FOR
SPONSORING THIS CONFERENCE ON THIS MOST
IMPORTANT ISSUE. THE MEPC PAPERS BY GREENPEACE/
ICFTU AND ICS ALSO PROVIDE FOR NEEDED DIALOG
ABOUT SHIP RECYCLING.

TO CONCLUDE, LET ME JUST REITTERATE THAT THE U.S. COAST GUARD SHARES YOUR CONCERNS AND RECOGNIZES THE NEED TO INVESTIGATE AND WORK TOGETHER TO PREVENT FURTHER HARM TO THE ENVIRONMENT. WE LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH YOU AS A VERY INTERESTED PARTNER IN DEALING WITH THIS VERY DIFFICULT ISSUE. I FIRMLY BELIEVE THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR WORK GROUPS RESULTING FROM THIS MEETING TO PROVIDE MEANINGFUL INPUT TO IMO DELIBERATIONS.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR INVITATION AND ATTENTION.